

Manufacturing Site Change Supplements: Content and Submission

Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff

**This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.
Document issued on: October 21, 2015**

You should submit comments and suggestions regarding this draft document within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Identify all with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this document contact the Division of Manufacturing and Quality in Office of Compliance at 301-796-5540.

For questions regarding this document concerning devices regulated by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), contact the Office of Communication, Outreach and Development (OCOD) by calling 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800.



**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Office of Compliance**

Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research

Preface

Additional Copies

CDRH

Additional copies of this draft guidance are available from the Internet at:

<http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/default.htm>. You may also send an e-mail request to CDRH-Guidance@fda.hhs.gov to receive

an electronic copy of the guidance. Please use the document number 1269 to identify the guidance you are requesting.

CBER

Additional copies of this draft guidance are available from the Office of Communication, Outreach and Development (OCOD), 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, rm. 3103, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, or by calling 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800, or by e-mail at ocod@fda.hhs.gov or from the Internet at

<http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations
Draft – Not for Implementation

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. BACKGROUND.....	1
III. SCOPE OF GUIDANCE.....	3
IV. SUBMISSIONS FOR MANUFACTURING SITE CHANGES.....	6
A. WHAT IS A MANUFACTURING SITE CHANGE AND WHEN SHOULD IT BE SUBMITTED AS A SITE CHANGE SUPPLEMENT VERSUS A 30-DAY NOTICE?	6
B. WHAT SHOULD BE SUBMITTED IN THE SITE CHANGE SUPPLEMENT?.....	11
C. DETERMINING WHETHER AN INSPECTION MAY BE NEEDED.....	13
D. ADDITIONAL RESOURCES	15

DRAFT

Manufacturing Site Change Supplements: Content and Submission

Draft Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. Introduction

This draft guidance explains FDA's current thinking regarding the following:

- (A) What constitutes a manufacturing site change and when you should submit a PMA supplement for a site change;
- (B) What documentation you should submit in a site change supplement; and
- (C) The general factors FDA intends to consider when determining whether to conduct an establishment inspection prior to approval of a site change supplement.

This draft guidance document replaces an earlier draft guidance entitled "Likelihood of Facilities Inspections When Modifying Devices Subject to Premarket Approval," issued August 5, 1999.

FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidance means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

II. Background

Under section 515(d)(6) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic (FD&C) Act, 21 U.S.C. § 360e(d)(6), a supplemental application to an approved PMA (PMA supplement) must be submitted for review and approval by FDA before making a change that affects the device's safety or effectiveness, unless such change is a modification in a manufacturing procedure or method of manufacture, which would be eligible for a 30-day notice. The PMA regulations provide general criteria in 21 CFR 814.39 for determining when PMA holders (hereinafter

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

referred to as “applicants”) are required to submit a PMA supplement or are eligible to submit a 30-day notice. Under 21 CFR 814.39(a)(3), a PMA holder must submit a PMA supplement when it “use[s] a different facility or establishment to manufacture, process, or package the device,” and the change affects the device’s safety or effectiveness.

With respect to establishment inspections, section 510(h) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. § 360(h)) requires every registered establishment to be subject to inspections pursuant to section 704 of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. § 374) and every such establishment engaged in the manufacture, propagation, compounding, or processing of a device or devices classified in class II or III is required to be inspected at least once in the two-year period after registration and at least once in every successive two-year period thereafter.

In March 1996, CDRH sent a letter to the medical device industry that announced a one-year pilot to improve the processing of PMA supplements for changes in manufacturing sites. The letter discussed the need to improve the speed and efficiency of CDRH review and approval of manufacturing site change supplements, and stated that CDRH did not require a preapproval inspection for all manufacturing site changes. That letter described conditions under which a site generally would or would not be inspected. CDRH later developed the draft guidance entitled, “Likelihood of Facilities Inspections When Modifying Devices Subject to Premarket Approval,” which was issued on August 5, 1999. This guidance was not finalized.

The PMA supplements described in the March 1996 letter and the 1999 draft guidance were called “site change supplements” or, if no preapproval inspection was required, they were termed “express supplements.” FDA now identifies all such submissions as “site change supplements” with a designation of whether or not an inspection is needed before the change can be implemented. Based on feedback from industry and the Agency’s experience over many years, FDA has made substantial revisions and updates to the 1999 draft guidance and is reissuing it for comment as this Level 1 draft guidance, “Manufacturing Site Change Supplements: Content and Submission.”

This guidance is intended to help industry decide when a change in manufacturing site should be submitted in a PMA site change supplement. The guidance is also intended to help industry predict when a preapproval inspection in connection with a PMA supplement for a manufacturing site change will likely be needed to evaluate the firm’s implementation of the Quality System (QS) regulation requirements, 21 CFR Part 820. As a result, this guidance should help firms manage the timeframes associated with implementing the changes in the manufacturing site and any processes, methods, procedures, qualifications, and validations. Regardless of whether or not an FDA preapproval inspection will be conducted, or whether a PMA supplement should be submitted, manufacturers of class III finished devices must comply with the QS regulation requirements applicable to its operations, which are found in 21 CFR Part 820. By developing and implementing QS processes, manufacturing-related problems can be minimized when using a different facility or establishment to manufacture, process, or package a device.

FDA also has issued other general guidances regarding PMA supplements and 30-day notices. In the Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Modifications to Devices Subject to Premarket Approval (PMA) - The PMA Supplement Decision-Making Process (December 11, 2008), FDA discusses in Section G. When to Submit a Manufacturing Site Change Supplement, and that it

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

plans to issue a separate guidance on manufacturing site change supplements and when an inspection is likely to occur.¹ This draft guidance is that separate guidance.

FDA issued a Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: 30-Day Notices, 135-Day Premarket Approval (PMA) Supplements and 75-Day Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) Supplements for Manufacturing Method or Process Changes (April 13, 2011) regarding “changes FDA believes may qualify for a 30-day notice and the changes that generally do not qualify.” The guidance includes an illustrative list of manufacturing procedure changes or changes to the methods of manufacture, that when they affect the safety and effectiveness of the device, would likely qualify for a 30-day notice, such as “a change that adds a new cleanroom to existing manufacturing space.”² The list also includes that a 30-day notice may be appropriate for a relocation of a formulation room within a manufacturing facility. The guidance further includes examples of changes FDA believes do not qualify for submission as a 30-day notice, such as “a change in ...manufacturing/sterilization site of a finished device.”

III. Scope of Guidance

This guidance document describes the decision-making steps that we recommend you follow to determine whether a PMA supplement should be submitted when you intend to change the manufacturing site (including a change to the processing, packaging, or sterilization site) of your legally marketed PMA-approved device. This guidance also discusses general factors FDA intends to consider to determine whether a preapproval inspection is necessary before approval of the PMA supplement.

Please note that this guidance only applies to a manufacturer of a device with an approved PMA, a product development protocol, or a humanitarian device exemption (HDE). This guidance does not address manufacturing site changes for devices cleared under premarket notification (510(k)) submissions.

This guidance uses the term “site” to encompass both establishments and facilities. “Establishment” and “facility” are not defined in 21 CFR Part 814. For purposes of this guidance, FDA is using the term “establishment” as defined in 21 CFR 807.3(c), which means a place of business under one management at one general physical location at which a device is

¹ The guidance provides that an applicant shall submit a PMA supplement for review and approval by FDA before making a change that affects the safety or effectiveness of a device, including a change that uses a different facility or establishment to manufacture, process, or package the device, which corresponds to 21 CFR 814.39(a)(3). The guidance further states that “[s]uch a PMA supplement for a move to a different facility or establishment is called ‘a manufacturing site change supplement.’” For further information on PMA supplement decision-making process, please refer to this guidance, available at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM089360.pdf>.

² The guidance explains that “existing manufacturing space can include newly constructed space or buildings provided that they are included under a single Firm Establishment Identifier (FEI).” For further information on 30-day notices, 135-day PMA supplements, and 75-day HDE supplements for manufacturing method or process changes, please refer to this guidance, available at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm080192.htm>

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

manufactured, assembled, or otherwise processed. FDA is defining the term “facility” for purposes of this guidance to mean the physical structures or buildings within an “establishment.”

A. Definitions³

- **Components:** A medical device component is any raw material, substance, piece, part, software, firmware, labeling, or assembly which is intended to be included as part of the finished, packaged, and labeled device. (21 CFR 820.3(c)) A medical device component is considered an incomplete part of the finished medical device. Additionally, a component is not separately distributed (i.e., not sold or available separately) to consumers/end users, and is only sold to the manufacturer to be incorporated into the finished medical device.
- **Establishment:** A place of business under one management at one general physical location at which a device is manufactured, assembled, or otherwise processed (21 CFR 807.3(c)).
- **Facility:** The physical structures or buildings within an establishment.
- **FDA Establishment Identifier (FEI):⁴** Number used by FDA in the identification of registered establishments. A unique FEI is typically assigned to each business or entity that performs activities subject to FDA jurisdiction. Since the year 2000, FEIs have been issued with 10 digits.
- **Finished Device:** Any device or accessory to any device that is suitable for use or capable of functioning, whether or not it is packaged, labeled, or sterilized (21 CFR 820.3(l)).
- **Manufacturing Site:** A facility or establishment used to manufacture, process, or package a finished device or accessory of any finished device.
- **No Action Indicated (NAI):** Indicates Quality System deficiencies of a quantity or type to conclude that there is a minimal probability, in light of the relationship between quality system deficiencies observed and the particular device and manufacturing process involved, that the establishment will produce nonconforming or defective finished devices; this term is generally synonymous with a Situation II inspection,⁵ in which FDA informs the establishment of any objectionable findings, but generally does not initiate administrative or regulatory action.
- **Official Action Indicated (OAI):** Indicates one or more major deficiencies with the Quality System regulation such that FDA is prepared to initiate administrative and/or regulatory action, including, but not limited to, issuance of a warning letter, injunction,

³ Unless otherwise specified, the terms below are defined as follows for purposes of this guidance only.

⁴ For more information on how FDA assigns FEI numbers, please refer to the agency’s Field Management Directives at <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/Inspections/FieldManagementDirectives/>.

⁵ Situation II inspection is defined in Part V of FDA’s Compliance Program 7382.845, “Inspection of Medical Device Manufacturers.”

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

detention, seizure, civil money penalty, or prosecution; this term is synonymous with the definition of a Situation I inspection.⁶

- **PMA Supplement (21 CFR 814.39):** After FDA's approval of a PMA, an applicant shall submit a PMA supplement for review and approval by FDA before making a change affecting the safety or effectiveness of the device for which the applicant has an approved PMA, unless the change is a modification to the manufacturing procedure or method of manufacture that affects safety or effectiveness that does not require submission of a PMA supplement and is eligible for a 30-day notice. While the burden for determining whether a supplement is required is primarily on the PMA holder, changes for which an applicant shall submit a PMA supplement include, but are not limited to, the following types of changes if they affect the safety or effectiveness of the device:
 - (1) New indications for use of the device.
 - (2) Labeling changes.
 - (3) The use of a different facility or establishment to manufacture, process, or package the device.
 - (4) Changes in sterilization procedures.
 - (5) Changes in packaging.
 - (6) Changes in the performance or design specifications, circuits, components, ingredients, principle of operation, or physical layout of the device.
 - (7) Extension of the expiration date of the device based on data obtained under a new or revised stability or sterility testing protocol that has not been approved by FDA.
- **Site Change Supplement:** A PMA supplement that relates to the use of a different facility or establishment to manufacture, process, or package the device.
- **Voluntary Action Indicated (VAI):** Indicates Quality System deficiencies of a quantity or type to conclude that there is a minimal probability, in light of the relationship between quality system deficiencies observed and the particular device and manufacturing process involved, that the establishment will produce nonconforming or defective finished devices; generally synonymous with a Situation II inspection, in which FDA informs the establishment of any objectionable findings, but generally does not initiate administrative or regulatory action.
- **30 Day Notice:** A submission to FDA for changes deemed to be a modification in a manufacturing procedure or method of manufacturing of a PMA approved device. (Section 515(d)(6)(A) of FD&C Act; 21 CFR 814.39(f)).

⁶ Situation I inspection is defined in Part V of FDA's Compliance Program 7382.845, "Inspection of Medical Device Manufacturers."

IV. Submissions for Manufacturing Site Changes

A. *What is a manufacturing site change and when should it be submitted as a site change supplement versus a 30-Day Notice?*

FDA has received questions on a variety of scenarios that might constitute using a different site to manufacture, process, or package a device under 21 CFR 814.39(a)(3). A typical scenario involves moving the site in which manufacturing activities take place. Other scenarios involve expanding an existing site, building a new facility or establishment, or moving equipment within a facility. Table 1 in this guidance is intended to address various manufacturing site changes that may fall under these scenarios. The table describes when a particular scenario would be considered “use [of] a different facility or establishment” for which a manufacturer should file a PMA site change supplement or when a scenario would be considered a “modification to manufacturing procedures or methods of manufacture” that is eligible for a 30-day notice.

For purposes of this guidance, FDA intends to consider a different site for which a site change supplement should be submitted to include: 1) where the site was not approved as part of any original PMA; or 2) where the site(s) was approved as part of an original PMA, but only for the performance of different manufacturing activities. Under these circumstances, the different site would have no experience with either the process or the technology, or a similar process or technology, for manufacturing the same or a similar device, and FDA would not have had the opportunity to evaluate the change because it would not have been evaluated in any way in the PMA application.⁷ In such instances, we would consider the change to constitute “the use of a different facility or establishment” under 21 CFR 814.39(a)(3) and the manufacturer should submit a 180-day PMA supplement if the change affects the device’s safety or effectiveness (section 515(d)(6)(A)(i) of FD&C Act).

An applicant should submit a 180-day PMA supplement⁸ for using a different site, as described above, that affects the device’s safety or effectiveness, which is also referred to as a site change supplement that FDA reviews within 180 days of receipt. See 21 CFR 814.39(a)(3), 814.39(c), and 814.40. The site change supplement should clearly identify any manufacturing site changes and related changes to the method of manufacture. Refer to subsection B for additional information on what should be submitted in a site change supplement. Approval of the 180-Day PMA Supplement is needed before any manufacturing site change and related changes can be implemented.

Certain changes in manufacturing sites may be submitted as 30-day notices if they are among the sites already approved in a PMA, and if they are for performance of the same or similar manufacturing activities and for the same or similar device as those at the PMA approved site. If the previously approved site and its personnel use and have experience with similar technology

⁷ FDA recognizes that a manufacturing site change would rarely involve a completely new manufacturing technology for which the firm has no experience or the manufacture of a dissimilar device at the new site, but for completeness of defining the underlying principle for what constitutes a different site, it is important for FDA to consider both the site’s familiarity with the manufacturing technology and the device type of the device manufactured at the site.

⁸ HDE holders are required to submit a 75-day supplement. See 21 CFR 814.108 and 814.114.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

and processes for manufacturing a similar device, then the new manufacturing site would not be considered “different” under 21 CFR 814.39(a)(3). Such a change would be considered a “modification” to an existing manufacturing procedure or method of manufacturing, under 21 CFR 814.39(f), and the applicant would be eligible to submit a 30-day notice if the manufacturing site change affects the device’s safety or effectiveness.

FDA does not consider the use of a new facility or establishment for the manufacture, processing, or packaging of a component of a finished device to require a PMA supplement.⁹ Firms that manufacture components that do not also manufacture finished devices are not subject to the QS regulation requirements, under 21 CFR 820.1(a), but these manufacturers are encouraged to use appropriate provisions of 21 CFR Part 820 as guidance. The finished device manufacturer ensures compliance with QS requirements through the application of purchasing controls (21 CFR 820.50) and acceptance criteria (21 CFR 820.80) for all components purchased or otherwise received. Thus, an applicant should submit a 30-day notice, under 21 CFR 814.39(f), for use of a new supplier of those components that are critical to the finished device’s function, operation, or specifications, because such modifications to the manufacturing procedures or methods affect the finished device’s safety or effectiveness.

Table 1 outlines the type of manufacturing site changes that FDA believes affect the device’s safety or effectiveness as well as the type of submission that should be submitted to FDA. Manufacturers are responsible for validating changes, as necessary, in accordance with the QS regulations, whether or not a PMA supplement is submitted.

Table 1

Type of Manufacturing Site Change that Affects Device Safety or Effectiveness	Site Change Supplement	30-Day Notice
1. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device from one site to a relocation site, both of which were approved as part of the original PMA application for the subject device or for other class II or class III device:		
a. If the moved activities are already conducted at the relocation site for the same or a similar class II or class III device		✓
b. If the moved activities are not already conducted at the relocation site	✓	
2. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device to a site that was not part of the original PMA application for the subject device or for other class II or class III device	✓	

⁹ Please note the definition of finished devices includes accessories.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations
Draft – Not for Implementation

Type of Manufacturing Site Change that Affects Device Safety or Effectiveness	Site Change Supplement	30-Day Notice
3. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities in-house for a finished device		
a. In-house from a contract manufacturer included in the original PMA for activities already conducted in-house for the same or a similar class II or class III finished device		✓
b. In-house from a contract manufacturer included in the original PMA for activities not already conducted in-house for a different class II or class III finished device	✓	
4. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device from one facility to another within the same establishment that has the same FEI		✓
5. Expanding manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device into a building nearby (e.g., an office park), and the new building has a different FEI	✓	
6. Moving the manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device to a contract manufacturer not approved as part of the original PMA	✓	
7. Moving the manufacturing or processing of a component that is critical to the finished device's function, operation, or specification		
a. From the device manufacturer to a contract manufacturer not included as part of the original PMA		✓
b. From a contract manufacturer included in the original PMA to another contract manufacturer not included as part of the original PMA		✓
c. In-house from a contract manufacturer included in the original PMA		✓

Illustrative examples are provided below that correspond with each situation identified in Table 1.

1. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device from one site to a relocation site both of which were approved as part of the original PMA application for the subject device or other class II or III device:

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

- a. If the moved activities are already conducted at the relocation site for the same or a similar class II or class III device:

For example, ABC, an applicant, has two sites approved in the original PMA. Both sites manufacture portions of a hybrid circuit board. ABC plans to move and consolidate all hybrid circuit board manufacturing to one of the two approved sites, which affects the safety or effectiveness of the device. A 30-day notice should be submitted.

- b. If the moved activities are not already conducted at the relocation site:

For example, ABC, an applicant, originally received approval for a PMA that included outsourcing the sterilization of the stent system device to Sterile Devices, Inc. ABC now plans to move the sterilization process in-house to its own facility. However, ABC has no previous experience with this sterilization process for this, or a similar, device, and this move affects the device's safety or effectiveness. A site change supplement should be submitted.

2. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device to a site that was not part of the original PMA application for the subject device or other class II or III device:

For example, DEF, an applicant, received approval to manufacture a spinal disc replacement device at its site in Hometown, NY. DEF now plans to manufacture the device at another site in Anywhere, CA. The Anywhere, CA, site was not included in the original PMA application for the subject device or another device. A site change supplement should be submitted.

3. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities in-house for a finished device from a contract manufacturer:

- a. In-house from a contract manufacturer included as part of the original PMA for activities already conducted in-house for the same or similar device:

For example, DEF, the applicant, uses contract manufacturer ABC to perform sterilization activities for DEF's cardiac stent system. DEF also performs sterilization activities in-house for a similar device that is class II. DEF plans on terminating the contract with ABC and conducting sterilization activities in-house for the cardiac stent system. A 30-day notice should be submitted for this change.

- b. In-house from a contract manufacturer included as part of the original PMA for activities not already conducted in-house for a different class II or class III finished device:

For example, XYZ, an applicant, uses contract manufacturer ABC to perform some of the manufacturing steps for XYZ's hip implant. XYZ plans on moving these manufacturing steps in-house. This change will require company XYZ to perform one or more manufacturing steps that it does not currently perform in-house for the PMA device or for any other class II or class III finished device. A site change supplement should be submitted.

4. Moving manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device from one facility to another within the same establishment (same FEI):

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

For example, ABC, an applicant, currently performs a manufacturing step in a controlled environment (Class 100 clean room). ABC plans to move that manufacturing step and the clean room from the first floor to the third floor of the currently-approved facility. A 30-day notice should be submitted.

5. Expanding manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device into a building nearby (e.g., an office park), and the new building has a different FEI:

For example, ABC, an applicant, manufactures a breast cancer prognosis kit. ABC plans to move the manufacturing of the breast cancer prognosis kit to a new building. The new building will be at a different location and have a different FEI number. A site change PMA supplement should be submitted.

6. Moving the manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities for a finished device to a contract manufacturer not approved as part of the original PMA:

For example ABC, an applicant, is the PMA holder of a stent device system. The PMA was approved for ABC to load the stent onto the delivery system as well as to package and label the system. The manufacturing of the stent is performed by the contract manufacturer XYZ, Inc. ABC plans to move the manufacturing of the stent to another contract manufacturer that was not part of the original PMA. A site change supplement should be submitted.

7. Moving the manufacturing or processing of a component that is critical to the finished device's function, operation, or specification:¹⁰

- a. Moving component manufacturing to a contract manufacturer that was not part of the original PMA:

For example, ABC, an applicant, currently manufactures the filter component for a slide preparation device. ABC plans to move the manufacturing of these filters to another company, XYZ.¹¹ XYZ was not part of ABC's original PMA approval for the device. A 30-day notice should be submitted because that component is considered critical and the modification affects the device's safety or effectiveness.

- b. Moving component manufacturing from a contract manufacturer included as part of the original PMA to another contract manufacturer that was not part of the original PMA:

For example, ABC, an applicant, uses contract manufacturer DEF to manufacture the crystal oscillator, which is a component of ABC's implantable defibrillator. Now ABC would like to add a new company, GHI, as a second supply source for

¹⁰ Manufacturing changes to components that are not critical to the device's function, operation or specifications are not subject to a site change supplement or a 30-day notice.

¹¹ This example would have the same outcome if the component manufacturing was being moved to one supplier from another or if the component manufacturing was being moved in-house from a supplier.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

the crystal oscillator. A 30-day notice should be submitted because that component is considered critical and the modification affects the device's safety or effectiveness.

- c. Moving component manufacturing in-house from a contract manufacturer included in the original PMA:

For example, ABC, an applicant, uses contract manufacturer XYZ to manufacture the balloon used in its cardiac stent device. ABC would now like to manufacture the balloon in-house. A 30-day notice should be submitted because that component is considered critical and the modification affects the device's safety or effectiveness.

B. What should be submitted in the site change supplement?

Under 21 CFR 814.39(c), the PMA supplement must contain information needed to support the use of a different facility or establishment to manufacture, process, or package the device. In order to approve a site change supplement, the information submitted must show that, for the different site, the methods used in, or the facilities or controls used for, the manufacture, processing, packing, or installation of the device conform to the requirements of the QS regulation, 21 CFR Part 820. See sections 515(d)(2)(C) and 520(f) of the FD&C Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 360e(d)(2)(C) and 360j(f). FDA recommends that the following information be included in a site change supplement, if applicable:¹²

1. A description of the device, intended use, and nature and purpose of the site change, including a description of the manufacturing functions that will be performed at the proposed site (e.g., assembly or incoming acceptance).
2. A diagram of the proposed new manufacturing, processing, packaging, or distribution site(s).
3. A flow diagram that identifies the steps involved in the manufacture, processing, packaging, or distribution of the device under review at the proposed site(s).
4. A description of the equipment and processes that would be affected by the site change.
5. A list of any standards used in the new manufacturing processes, if applicable.
6. The process validation or revalidation master plan for the site, if appropriate (including validation of software that is used as part of the production or quality system where applicable, see 21 CFR 820.70(i)).

¹² For further information on manufacturing changes that may qualify for a 30-day notice please refer to FDA's final guidance, *30-Day Notices, 135-Day Premarket Approval (PMA) Supplements and 75-Day Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) Supplements for Manufacturing Method or Process Changes* (<http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm080192.htm>).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

7. A list of processes at the new site that you do not plan to validate but will verify by inspection and test.
8. The process validation or revalidation procedures (and reports, if applicable):¹³
 - a. For site change supplements for which it would be likely that FDA would conduct a preapproval inspection based on the information outlined in Section C below, we recommend that you provide the process validation or revalidation protocols for all the processes requiring validation. The submission of the completed results would be considered optional, as these results can be reviewed at the time of inspection. All validation activities should be completed before the scheduling of an inspection. Accordingly, you should list the planned completion dates for any processes that have not been validated at the time of the submission of the PMA supplement.
 - b. If FDA decides not to conduct a preapproval inspection based on the information outlined in Section C below, we will likely recommend the applicant to provide the process validation or revalidation protocols and completed reports for all the processes that require validation.
9. The procedures for environmental and contamination controls, if such conditions could adversely affect the device (21 CFR 820.70). If this involves a large number of procedures, a sample of the most relevant procedures would be sufficient.
10. If different from the original PMA, any procedures that explain how inspection, measuring, and test equipment are routinely calibrated, inspected, checked, and maintained (21 CFR 820.72). If this involves a large number of procedures, a sample of the most relevant procedures would be sufficient. If procedures are the same as those contained and approved in the original PMA, you should provide a statement indicating this.
11. If the manufacturing site change results in the use of a different supplier or contract manufacturer:
 - a. Provide or reference the current purchasing control procedures detailing the supplier evaluation process and describe how you will determine the type and extent of control that is to be exercised over the suppliers. The procedures should address:
 - (1) The quality controls that are established for the supplier, how those quality controls are evaluated, and how the quality controls are monitored.

¹³ If a determination has been made that only a subset of the process validation activities needs to be completed, then the rationale and supporting data for that decision should be supplied. For additional information on process validation, please see “*Quality Management Systems – Process Validation Guidance (2004)*.” Previously posted by the Global Harmonization Task Force (GHTF), this process validation guidance is now posted on the International Medical Devices Regulators Forum (IMDRF) website at <http://www.imdrf.org/docs/ghtf/final/sg3/technical-docs/ghtf-sg3-n99-10-2004-qms-process-guidance-04010.pdf>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

- (2) The communication method for ensuring that you and the supplier fully understand all of the controls that are applicable to the supplier.
 - (3) The change control mechanism utilized for ensuring adequate control over design and process related changes made by both you and the supplier.
 - (4) How you maintain records of acceptable suppliers and how you address the purchasing data approval process.
 - (5) How you balance purchasing assessment and receiving acceptance to ensure that products conform to specified requirements.
- b. Provide the specific documentation for any supplier, vendor, or contract manufacturer that establishes how you applied the purchasing controls (21 CFR 820.50) and acceptance activities requirements (21 CFR 820.80) to ensure the specific products and services purchased or received conform to specified requirements.¹⁴
12. The procedures for the incoming acceptance activities at the subject manufacturing site, if different from the procedures contained and approved in the original PMA. If procedures are the same as those contained and approved in the original PMA, you should provide a statement indicating this.
13. The procedures for the final acceptance activities at the subject manufacturing site, if applicable and different from the procedures contained and approved in the original PMA. If procedures are the same as those contained and approved in the original PMA, you should provide a statement indicating this.

C. Determining whether an inspection may be needed.

As with a premarket approval application, a supplemental premarket approval application may be approved if it meets the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. One such requirement is that the methods used in, or the facilities or controls used for, the manufacture, processing, packaging, storage or installation of a device conforms to the requirements of section 520(f) of the FD&C Act. A preapproval inspection for a site change supplement may be necessary for FDA to find that the methods used in, or the facilities or controls used for, the manufacture, processing, packaging, storage or installation of the device conform to the requirements of the QS regulation, 21 CFR Part 820. See sections 515(d)(2)(C) and 520(f) of the FD&C Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 360e(d)(2)(C) and 360j(f).

¹⁴ See comments 99, 106, and 109 in the preamble to the Quality System Regulation (61 FR 52602) for additional guidance on (1) balancing purchasing controls and acceptance and receiving activities, (2) balancing supplier and manufacturer quality controls and assurance measures, and (3) the term “quality requirements.”
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getpage.cgi?position=all&page=52624&dbname=1996_register

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

CDRH and CBER generally determine whether to conduct an inspection of a new site associated with a site change supplement based upon the following factors:

- the dates of the last inspections of the current site and the new site;
- the classifications of the last inspections of the current site and the new site;
- the relevance of the last QS regulation inspection to the moved manufacturing, processing, or packaging activities (e.g., whether similar products or processes were inspected); and
- the risk to the safety or effectiveness of the device associated with the manufacturing activities performed at the new site.

CDRH and CBER review the inspectional record to determine the classification of previous inspections. Inspections can be classified as Official Action Indicated (OAI), Voluntary Action Indicated (VAI), or No Action Indicated (NAI). OAI is synonymous with the definition of a Situation I inspection as defined in Part V of FDA’s Compliance Program 7382.845, “Inspection of Medical Device Manufacturers.”¹⁵ Situation I indicates one or more major deficiencies with the Quality System regulation such that FDA is prepared to initiate administrative and/or regulatory action, including, but not limited to, issuance of a warning letter, injunction, detention, seizure, civil money penalty, or prosecution. NAI and VAI classifications are generally synonymous with a Situation II inspection, which indicates Quality System deficiencies of a quantity or type to conclude that there is a minimal probability, in light of the relationship between quality system deficiencies observed and the particular device and manufacturing process involved, that the establishment will produce nonconforming or defective finished devices. In Situation II, FDA informs the establishment of any objectionable findings, but generally does not initiate administrative or regulatory action.

CDRH’s Office of Compliance (OC) or Office of In Vitro Diagnostics and Radiological Health (OIR), or CBER’s Office of Compliance and Biologics Quality, Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality (OCBQ) intends to consider the factors outlined above in determining the need for an inspection at the time of review. For example, when the new site has not been inspected or the last inspection of either the current or the new site was classified as OAI, then FDA intends to conduct an inspection of the new site if CDRH or CBER reviews the site change supplement and concludes that it is approvable pending a non-violative (Situation II) inspection.

Table 2 provides information regarding whether or not FDA would likely inspect a new site as a result of a site change supplement being submitted.¹⁶

Table 2

	Current Site*	New Site	FDA Would Likely Conduct Inspection?

¹⁵ <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm072753.htm>

¹⁶ For lines 3 and 4 in Table 2: Provided that the previous inspection was performed at the new site on devices similar to the device under review.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations
Draft – Not for Implementation

1.	NAI/VAI < 2yrs	No Inspectional History	Yes
2.	NAI/VAI > 2yrs	No Inspectional History	Yes
3.	NAI/VAI < 2yrs	NAI/VAI < 2yrs	No
4.	NAI/VAI > 2yrs	NAI/VAI < 2yrs	No
5.	NAI/VAI < 2yrs	NAI/VAI > 2yrs	Yes
6.	NAI/VAI > 2yrs	NAI/VAI > 2yrs	Yes
7.	OAI	No Inspectional History/NAI/VAI	Yes
8.	NAI/VAI/OAI	OAI	Yes

* NOTE: The Current Site refers to the site approved under the original PMA where the manufacturing activity currently resides. .

D. Additional Resources

The following are additional resources that may be of assistance in developing the content of your site change supplement or 30-day notice, preparing for an inspection, or in performing process validation:

1. Quality System Information for Certain Premarket Application Reviews; Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff (2003), available at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm070897.htm>.
2. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff; The Review and Inspection of Premarket Approval Application Manufacturing Information and Operations (2008), available at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm077758.htm>.
3. Guidance for Industry; Process Validation: General Principles and Practices (2011), available at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/.../Guidances/UCM070336.pdf>.
4. Medical Devices; Current Good Manufacturing Practice (CGMP) Final Rule; Quality System Regulation, 61 FR 52602, October 7, 1996, available at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/PostmarketRequirements/QualitySystemsRegulations/ucm230127.htm>.
5. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: 30-Day Notices, 135-Day Premarket Approval (PMA) Supplements, and 75-Day Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) Supplements for Manufacturing Method or Process Changes (2011), available at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm080192.htm>.
6. OEI (Official Establishment Inventory) Development and Maintenance Procedures, available at <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/Inspections/FieldManagementDirectives/ucm096034.htm>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft – Not for Implementation

7. Compliance Program Guidance Manual, Inspection of Medical Device Manufacturers. Program 7382.845, available at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM244277.pdf>.
8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Modifications to Devices Subject to Premarket Approval (PMA) - The PMA Supplement Decision-Making Process (2008), available at <http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm089274.htm>.

DRAFT